

# Making Institutions Work for Rural Revitalization: Implications for Central Asia

Dr. Katrina Kosec

Senior Research Fellow

International Food Policy Research Institute

31 May 2019

# What is Governance? Why Does it Matter?

- The traditions and institutions by which authority in a country is exercised (World Bank 2006)
- We focus on three relevant components of this broad concept:
  - Legal and regulatory regimes (i.e., laws and rules)
  - **State capabilities** (i.e., technical skills, financial resources, and institutions)
  - Accountability mechanisms (i.e. to make leaders support the rural poor)
- Why focus on governance?
  - It affects what policy goals are set, and resulting policy outcomes
  - Central to improving agricultural productivity and reducing poverty, hunger, and malnutrition (USAID 2016; AGRA 2018; IFPRI 2019)

# Good Governance for Rural Revitalization

- Three aspects of governance are critical for rural revitalization:
  - Appropriate and predictable laws and regulations—especially a legal framework to protect property rights and create incentives to support business
  - Effective policy implementation and enforcement—which depend on the government having adequate technical skills, financial resources, and appropriate organizational structures
  - Accountability—from to ensure that governments respond to the needs of the poor

# Good Governance for Rural Revitalization

- Devolution of governance to subnational or local levels can improve government responsiveness to local needs (when responsibilities are matched with adequate funding, technical capabilities, and accountability mechanisms!)
- The information revolution currently taking place offers new tools for improving governance and achieving rural revitalization

# Supportive Legal Regimes

- Exclusively within the mandate of governments!
- Property rights (for physical assets and/or intellectual property) are fundamental to investment, growth, food security, and development (e.g., Deininger 2003; Holden *et al.* 2009; Lawry et al. 2014)


#### • <u>EXAMPLE</u>:

In the Kyrgyz Republic, Kosec and Shemyakina (2019) find that children in regions that initiated land privatization soon after the collapse of socialism grew taller than did children in laterprivatizing regions, owing in part to higher household food production and consumption



# **Property Right Protection in Central Asia**

• How do Central Asian countries measure up?



# Supportive Regulatory Regimes

- Critical to promoting agroindustry, agricultural productivity, and rural job growth (McMillan *et al.* 2017; Newman *et al.* 2016)
- The Enabling the Business of Agriculture Index (World Bank 2017) has been an important step in measuring the quality of countries' regulatory environments in different domains
  - GOAL: identify regulatory barriers; spur lagging governments to reform

A country's **distance-tofrontier score** measures how far it is from best practice (on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst performance and 100 the frontier)

	Kazakhstan	Kyrgyz Republic	Tajikistan
Seed	54	36	42
Fertilizer	73	69	37
Machinery	81	65	58
Finance	37	79	32
Markets	71	73	58
Transport	19	18	84
Water	66	46	47
ICT	61	44	36

# **Reinvigorating State Capabilities**

- Even with clear laws and regulations, how well they are *implemented* <u>also</u> matters
- Weak state capacity in developing countries → poor implementation (e.g., corruption, or mimicking contextually inappropriate institutions)
- Key to reinvigorating state capabilities:
  - Better training for civil servants
  - Identifying faults in *incentive structures* for implementation

# Incentivizing Civil Servants

- Creative policy experiments are being tested worldwide across various sectors
- Possibilities:
  - Pay-for-performance contracts (i.e., civil servants' salaries linked to results) – Uganda
  - Systematically "naming and shaming" bureaucrats for delivering or failing to deliver – Rwanda
  - Delivery units: Teams of experts (e.g., in the Office of the Prime Minister) who coordinate activities across sectoral ministries to improve inter-sectoral coordination to meet policy targets – Malaysia

### Strengthening Capabilities at the Local Level

- Decentralization has many benefits, in theory; brings services "closer to the people" (Oates 1985)
- Makes strengthening local government capabilities important

#### • <u>EXAMPLE</u>:

- Mid-1990s: Kyrgyz Republic begins political, admin., fiscal decentralization
- Local municipal/ city councils have governance and budgeting authorities
- Challenges:
- (1) unclear allocation of responsibilities across tiers;
- (2) local policymakers lack knowledge/ ability to carry out mandates;

(3) lack of locally-generated revenues (mostly transfers) undermines local autonomy

Solutions: training local policymakers; soliciting citizen input into local decision-making


# Accountability to Citizens

- Making government accountable is a key governance challenge
- How do Central Asian countries measure up?

2017 Country Policy And Institutional Assessment (CPIA)

Transparency, accountability, and [freedom from] corruption in the public sector rating

(1=low to 6=high)




# Achieving Accountability by Harnessing the Information Revolution

- Poor and rural citizens are least informed/ educated, but have the highest valuation of government services (Kosec 2014; Gottlieb 2016)
- Policy makers often use information asymmetries to their advantage, to the detriment of the poor (Banerjee *et al.* 2018)
- Information can help the poor demand accountability (Kosec and Wantchekon 2019)
- Mobile telephony and the Internet are helping to:
  - Increase government transparency
  - Reduce information asymmetries between citizens and government
  - Allow citizens to coordinate and organize to pressure government


# The Information Revolution: Mobile Phones

Growth in Mobile Cellular Subscriptions (per 100 people), 1998-2017



# The Information Revolution: Internet Use

Growth in Individuals Using the Internet (% of population), 1998-2017



# E-Governance in the Kyrgyz Republic

- In the Kyrgyz Republic, the rural e-municipality initiative "Electronic Ayil-Okmotu" (e-Ayil) has been recognized as a successful development intervention at the grassroots level
- It has aimed to achieve several goals:
  - 1. Providing citizens access to information about public services
  - 2. Developing e-management systems for land, taxes, budgets, etc
  - Developing e-communication platforms for sharing information exchange with government agencies and partners



#### Kyrgyz Citizens Improve Their City With a Click

- February 2016: launch of the OshCity app and website (developed by local organization 'Youth of Osh,' in cooperation with mayor's office)
- Improved communication: allows citizens to send requests and questions about 28 different services (e.g., transport, sanitation, health, employment, land use, construction, etc)
- Requests and responses are publicly available and mapped, to ensure transparency and increase trust

"The online map greatly simplifies our work when we can just look at [it] to understand the mood and concerns of the city."

--Aytmamat Kadyrbaev, former mayor of Osh



Nurkaly Tolubaev helps to improve the city of Osh by reporting various issues. Youth of Osh

# What are Key Policy Recommendations?

- Establish an enabling and predictable legal and regulatory environment to stimulate private sector investment and engagement
- Build state capabilities and establish incentives for better service delivery to improve policy implementation at the national and subnational levels
- Facilitate the information revolution to promote engagement of citizens with one another and with politicians and governments